

Hiltner, Allison

From: Hiltner, Allison
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2015 8:07 AM
To: Christopher, Anne
Cc: Hong, Claire
Subject: RE: CSTAG Tier 2 Consideration Memo

Anne – take a look at the file entitled “LDW NRRB Appendices 11-5-11” in G:\Denali\Lower Duwamish - Hiltner\Proposed Plan\NRRB

The 11 principles memo is Appendix C (it got mistitled Appendix B [you’ll see there are two appendix Bs] but it’s really Appendix C – can you tell we were in a scramble to get this out? We did a lot of cross referencing to the NRRB package so we wouldn’t have to say the same thing twice.

I’d suggest also taking a look at Quendall’s 11 principals memo at G:\Denali\Quendall Site File materials\NRRB\NRRB and also taking a look at their NRRB package. HQ was very complimentary of their package (I can’t say the same for mine). Claire Hong is the RPM if you have questions about Quendall (although she wasn’t at the time of the NRRB review).

Feel free to call or e-mail with any questions.
Allison

From: Christopher, Anne
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2015 4:25 PM
To: Legare, Amy; Ells, Steve; Hiltner, Allison
Subject: CSTAG Tier 2 Consideration Memo

Hey Amy, Steve, or Allison,

Do you have any recommendations for an example of a good CSTAG Tier 2 Consideration Memo to use as a template? I am curious about formatting and how much to include for each of the 11 principles. I found 2 examples on our R10 share drive that I attached (Midnight Mine and PH Terminal 4). Would those be good examples to follow?

Allison, do you have an example from LDW?

Thanks,
Annie

From: Hiltner, Allison
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2015 11:46 AM
To: Christopher, Anne
Subject: RE: Ketchikan Pulp FYR

Anne –
Here’s a link to the 2002 HQ “11 principles memo” for contaminated sediment sites:

<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/policy/remedy/pdfs/92-85608-s.pdf>

And on this page you will find the previous CSTAG recommendations and regional responses for Portland Harbor, which may be helpful in putting your 11 principles memo together (the last review was in 2006 so it may not be that helpful, but it’s likely that HQ will be checking whether their previous recommendations have been addressed, so good to know what they were):

http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/conmedia/sediment/cstag_sites.htm

Feel free to stop by if you have any further questions –
Allison